

# EXHIBIT 41

## EXHIBIT 41

### **Jamestown Container Corporation, Adv. Pro. No. 07-02322-rdd**

Jamestown Container Corporation (“Jamestown”) received relevant information advising that avoidance claims had been filed under seal and the time to serve the complaints and summonses had been extended. Jamestown was served with the First Amended Plan Disclosure Statement, filed in December 2007. *See Ex. 7, January 11, 2008 Affidavit of Service—Ex. W (Docket No. 11974).* On December 13, 2007, the Debtors also filed a copy of the Disclosure Statement publicly with their Form 8-K (Docket No. 11388). The Disclosure Statement outlined in detail that preference claims were to be filed under seal, with service deferred until after the limitations period. As this Court discussed during the July 22, 2010 hearing, the Disclosure Statement, combined with the defendants’ knowledge that they had in fact received preferential transfers, put the defendants on notice of the preference claim procedures at issue and on inquiry notice as to the need to monitor preference claim developments. *Ex. 8, July 22, 2010 Transcript, pp. 150-153.* *See also In re TWA Inc. Post Confirmation Estate, 305 B.R. 221, 227 (D. Del. 2004)* (“[I]n large chapter 11 cases sophisticated creditors typically are well aware of prospects and risks of preference litigation. ... Thus, it seems unlikely that creditors could be surprised or caught off guard when such preference complaints are finally filed.”). Accordingly, Jamestown cannot credibly deny knowledge that avoidance claims were filed and placed under seal and the summonses had been extended.

Jamestown filed the Declaration of Richard Weimer, its Controller. *Ex. 41(a), November 23, 2010 Declaration of Richard Weimer.* Mr. Weimer says “Jamestown Container had no notice in 2007, 2008 or 2009 that Delphi was seeking and obtaining authority to file and keep complaints under seal and to extend the time for service of the complaints....” *Id. at ¶ 3.* Mr.

Weimer makes no mention of the Disclosure Statement or the information that it conveyed.

There is an obvious discrepancy between Mr. Weimer's account and the fact that the Disclosure Statement informed Jamestown that preference claims were filed under seal. Moreover, Mr. Weimer's Declaration fails to speak to several significant facts. First, he does not say how long he has been with Jamestown. Second, he reveals nothing about the investigation, if any, that he performed, and third he does not claim to have personal knowledge of the events mentioned in the declaration. Mr. Weimer also explains that the people who worked with Delphi are no longer with Jamestown, *id. at ¶6*, thus calling into question whether the "key personnel" had received notice.

# EXHIBIT A

**JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL, LLP**

12 Fountain Plaza, Suite 800

Buffalo, New York 14202

Tel: 716.856.0600

Fax: 716.856.0432

Beverley S. Braun, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

bbraun@jaeckle.com

*Attorneys for Jamestown Container Corporation*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

|                                     |   |                        |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| In re:                              | ) | Chapter 11             |
| DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <i>et al.</i> , | ) | No. 05-44481 (RDD)     |
| Debtors.                            | ) | Jointly Administered   |
| <hr/>                               |   |                        |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i> , | ) |                        |
| Plaintiffs,                         | ) | Adv. Pro. No. 07-02322 |
| -against-                           | ) |                        |
| JAMESTOWN CONTAINER CORP.,          | ) |                        |
| Defendant.                          | ) |                        |

**DECLARATION OF RICHARD WEIMER**

Richard Weimer declares as follows:

1. I am the Controller of Jamestown Container Corporation (hereinafter "Jamestown Container").
2. I make this Declaration on personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, would testify to the facts contained therein.

3. Until May 2010, Jamestown Container had no notice that Delphi Corporation and its affiliates or successors (hereinafter collectively "Delphi") was going to sue Jamestown Container to recover over \$1.45 million for allegedly preferential payments. Further, Jamestown Container had no notice in 2007, 2008 or 2009 that Delphi was seeking and obtaining authority to file and keep complaints under seal and to extend the time for service of the complaints long past the running of the statute of limitations.

4. I have been unable to locate any records which indicate the Jamestown Container was ever served with the initial complaint in this action, let alone that the complaint when it was supposed to be served, included the Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) to Extend Deadline to Serve Process for Avoidance Actions Filed in Connection With Preservation of Estate Claims Procedures Order dated October 22, 2009 (Docket 18999).

5. In fact, Jamestown Container first learned of this adversary proceeding when I was advised by another company that Jamestown Container had been sued in connection with the Delphi Bankruptcy. That notification by a non-party occurred on or about May 6, 2010.

6. On December 31, 2006, the contract between Delphi and Jamestown Container expired by its terms, and Delphi ceased doing business with Jamestown Container. From that date until May 6, 2010, Jamestown Container experienced several internal restructurings or reorganizations. Included in these reorganizations, was the loss of key personnel who had actively worked with the Delphi account.

7. After the contract expired between Delphi and Jamestown Container, Jamestown Container took no special steps to organize and preserve its records with respect to Delphi, to hold exit interviews with the employees which left who were knowledgeable about the Delphi business relationship or to make arrangements to keep in touch with those employees in the

event that Jamestown Container would need them to provide litigation information or serve as witnesses.

8. Had Jamestown Container known that Delphi was suing it for over \$1.47 million in the aggregate, it could have and would have taken special steps to organize and preserve its records with respect to Delphi, hold exit interviews with the employees which left who were knowledgeable about the Delphi business relationship or to make arrangements to keep in touch with those employees in the event that Jamestown Container would need them to provide litigation information or serve as witnesses.

9. Jamestown Container has been prejudiced in its ability to defend this adversary proceeding by the delay in receiving knowledge of the complaint against it.

10. I make this Declaration under penalty of perjury.



Richard Weimer

Executed in Jamestown, New York  
On November 23, 2010